

Experiment Control System

Architecture and Tools

Kack Generic Architecture



Clara Gaspar, May 2000

KKCB HW Architecture



SCADA = supervisory control and data acquisition OPC = OLE for process control PLC = Programmable logic controller Eield buses = CAN, ProfiBus, WorldFip, ...

Kack The Control Framework

Will provide guidelines and tools for the implementation of all components in the tree.

Based on:

- A Commercial Tool SCADA (tender out next week)
- +Additions (home made or commercial)
 - I Finite State Machine Toolkit
 - I Specific drivers
 - etc.

KRCB Control Framework

- **Dev** Tools for Device Unit implementation:
 - Device Description
 - Several Access Protocols
 - I Alarm Generation Configuration
 - User Interface Editor
 - Behaviour Model
 - Predefined (Configurable) Device Components, like:
 - Power supply (CAEN, Lecroy, ...)
 - CC-PC interface
 - etc.

LACS Device Configuration

💡 Vision_1: data point parametrization			
<u>File Panel ?</u>			100
e i k			ļ.
FwCtrlUnitUi HVCAENCrate orate0 orate1 orate0 orate1 orate1 orate1 orate1 orate2 orate1 orate2 o	Periphery	DPE: System1:crate0.Status[2]	

Lack Device User Interfaces

## HVChannelMon	
Channel 00	On Off Trip
Setting	Monitoring
• 1800	Vmon 1800 0 3000 V 0 0.1 mA
• 10 0.05	Imon 0.05 Vision_1: HVSubSystem Imon Eile Panel 2 2
	Dismiss Alarm State:
	No Alarm
	RAMP DOWN
	Trend Channels
	Channels:

Kack Device Behaviour Model

- User tasks:
 - (with the help of tools)
 - I Define Device States
 - Define Actions possible in each state
 - Derive State from Device status "bits"
 - I Implement Action by setting the relevant "bits".

🏽 📲 smi_object_states 💦 💶 🖂 🗙]	
Object Type: H∨subsystem (Pro	#8 smi_state_actions	_ 🗆 X
State List:	Object: HVsubsystem	State: Of
ON OFF TRIP RAMPING_UP RAMPING_DOWN MIXED UNKNOWN	Action List:	
State: Color:		
ON	Action:	
Add Remove	AddRer	nove
Apply Cancel	Apply Ca	ncel

Kick Predefined Components



Control Framework (cont.)

Tools for Developing the Control Units:

I Control Unit Configuration

- Which Components: Devices and/or Control Units
- Logic Behaviour Modeling (FSM)
 - Model the dependencies between components
 - Automate Operations & Error Recovery
- I Alarm Handling
 - Filtering, Summarising, Displaying, Masking, etc
- I Partitioning
- I User Interface Generation





Control Unit Operation Modes

Control Units:

- Publish State and Information
- Can receive commands

Normal Operation

Partitioned

Hierarchical control only
No Hierarchical control



Control from a "local" U.L.





KHCP Control Unit tasks

- Each C.U. is "inherently" capable of:
 - I Publishing information/receiving commands
 - I Handling Partitioning
 - Communicating with its children
 - Send Commands / Receive states
 - I Take decisions based on received states (Logic behaviour described using graphic tools)
 - I Filtering and Summarizing Alarms
 - Interfacing to the user (U.I. Automatically generated by the tools)
 - Generic Control
 - Alarm Display (Acknowledgment and Masking of alarms)
 - Archiving, Retrieving and Displaying (trending) all data

LHCB Modeling

📲 smi_domain 🛛 🚺	🕷 smi_object_states 📃 📕	🗱 smi_state_when_acti 💶 📴 🎆 action_editor	
Domain: Det1	Object Type: DetDCS1	Object: DetDCS1 State: RE/ Instructions:	
Object List:	State List:	Action List:	wi w2
Dev1	READY	SET_NOT_READY do (Dev2 in_state of if (Dev2 in_state of if	JFF)) then
Dev2 Det1	NOT_READY	NV_GOTO_NOT_READY	
		terminate_action/stat	e = READT
			6
Object Type:	State: Cold	Action: NV:	
DetDCS1	READY	SET_NOT_READY	
Object Name:	Add Remove	Add Bemove	
Det1 -	Copy from type:		
		When List:	UK cancel
Add Remo		when ((Devi in_state OFF	
I make 1 Oran	Apply Cancel	ma instr_do	X
Canc		Send to Object: Dev1	tion: SWITCH_ON 🔽
		Add R	SWITCH_ON SWITCH_OFF
			OK cancel
		Apply Cancel	
		Clara Gaspar, May 2000	14

Kick Generated User Interfaces

	💡 System1:fwUiDCS: ctrlu	nit\ui\main.pnl	_ 🗆 ×
	Detector	Control	READY
A Vision 1: otdunit(em)emiDomain pol	Sub-systems		Status
File Panel 2	Sub Detector 1		READY
🛎 🖆 🕟 🏗 🚯	Sub Detector 2		READY
	Sub Detector 3		READY
Select Domain:			
Det1			
	Action list	SET_NOT_READY	Previous
Det1			
READY			
SET NOT READY			
Dev1 Dev2			
ON	ON		

KKCB Alarm Display

0	prio	time	data-point element/multi-lingual	alert text	directi	value	ack	acknowledgement til	con	>	
	1	17/05/00 14:58:15	crate0.Status[2]		CAME	TRUE	х	17/05/00 14:58:27			
	1	17/05/00 14:44:28	crate0.Status[2]		WENT	FALSE					
	1	17/05/00 14:50:07	crate0.Status[2]		CAME	TRUE					
	1	17/05/00 14:57:06	crate0.Status[2]		WENT	FALSE					
	1	17/05/00 10:31:42	crate0.Status[2]		CAME	TRUE	х	17/05/00 14:41:54	1		
	1	17/05/00 22:27:33	crate0.Status[2]		WENT	FALSE					
	1	17/05/00 22:28:29	crate0.Status[2]		CAME	TRUE	х	17/05/00 22:30:18	1		
	1	17/05/00 22:31:02	crate0.Status[2]		WENT	FALSE					
	1	17/05/00 22:31:42	crate0.Status[2]		CAME	TRUE					
tti	ngs-	·		24 hours Loot C intern		1 11:21:50		17 05 00 00.01.50			

KHCP Control Framework

Other I tems that will be supported:

- CERN recommended Fieldbuses
 - I CAN, Profibus, WorldFip
- Ethernet (and Credit Card PC)
 - OPC, DIM
- Experiment Infrastructure
 - I Rack and Crate Control, GAS Systems (GAS WG), Cooling (?)
- CERN Infrastructure (Data Interchange WG)
 - I Technical Services, LHC machine, LHCb Magnet(?)

KRCP Conclusions

- The best way to achieve an homogeneous and maintainable control system (and to save manpower) is:
 - I To do the maximum in common
 - The Controls Framework is to be developed and used by the 4 LHC experiments
 - New "Devices" should be developed in a re-usable way and included in the Framework
 - I To Standardize on HW choices as much as possible
 - So that common SW can be used
- Please contact us for HW choices (of potentially common items)
 - I like: power supplies, Temperature Sensors, etc