Flavor Physics and CP Violation at LHC



Vancouver, 9-12 April 2006

Andreas Schopper (CERN)

> Motivation

- **Experimental sensitivity**
- **Expected physics performance**
- Conclusion

Motivation

B-factories (BABAR&BELLE) are extremely successful in constraining the unitary triangle within the SM and Tevatron (D0&CDF) has demonstrated its B_s physics capability!

LHC will act as a **b**-factory with large b-quark production rate including B_s , allowing to improve the CKM consistency test and to look for deviations from the SM rare processes





New Physics models introduce new particles, dynamics and/or symmetries at a higher energy scale (expected in the TeV region) with virtual particles that appear e.g. in loop processes

B Physics measurements are complementary to direct searches and will allow to understand the nature and flavour structure of possible New Physics



Completing the program on B Physics...

- ➢ Precise measurement of B⁰_s-B⁰_s mixing: Δm_s, ΔΓ_s and phase $φ_{s.}$
- Search for effects of NP appearing in suppressed and rare exclusive and inclusive B decays
- Precise γ determinations including processes only at tree-level, in order to disentangle possible NP contributions
- Other measurements of CP phases in different channels to over-constrain the Unitarity Triangles

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{B}_{s} \rightarrow \mathsf{D}_{s} \pi, \ \ldots \\ \mathsf{B}_{s} \rightarrow \mathsf{J}/\psi \varphi, \ \mathsf{B}_{s} \rightarrow \mathsf{J}/\psi \eta^{(\prime)} \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{B}_{(s)}{}^{0} {\rightarrow} \mathsf{X} \gamma, \ \mathsf{B}^{0} {\rightarrow} \mathsf{K}^{\star 0} \mathsf{I}^{+} \mathsf{I}^{-}, \\ \mathsf{b} {\rightarrow} \mathsf{s} \mathsf{I}^{+} \mathsf{I}^{-}, \ \mathsf{B}_{s} {\rightarrow} \mu^{+} \mu^{-} ... \end{array}$

```
B_{s} \rightarrow D_{s}K,

B^{0} \rightarrow D^{0}K^{*0}, B^{\pm} \rightarrow DK^{\pm},

B^{0} \rightarrow \pi\pi \& B_{s} \rightarrow KK, \dots
```

 $B^{0} \rightarrow \phi K_{s}, B_{s} \rightarrow \phi \phi, \dots$ $B^{0} \rightarrow \rho \pi, B^{0} \rightarrow \rho \rho, \dots$



B-factories vs. b-factory

	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B\overline{B}$	pp→bbX ($\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV, $\Delta t_{bunch} = 25$ ns)	
	PEPII, KEKB	LHC (LHCb–ATLAS/CMS)	
Production σ_{bb}	1 nb	~500 µb	(\cdot)
Typical bb rate	10 Hz	100–1000 kHz	
bb purity	~1/4	$\sigma_{bb}/\sigma_{inel} = 0.6\%$ Trigger is a major issue !	$\mathbf{\hat{S}}$
Pileup	0	0.5–5)
b-hadron types	${f B^+ B^- (50\%) \over B^0 B^0 (50\%)}$	B^+ (40%), B^0 (40%), B_s (10%) B_c (< 0.1%), b-baryons (10%)	$\mathbf{\hat{\cdot}}$
b-hadron boost	Small	Large (decay vertexes well separated)	
Production vertex	Not reconstructed	Reconstructed (many tracks)	
Neutral B mixing	Coherent B ⁰ B ⁰ pair mixing	Incoherent B ⁰ and B _s mixing (extra flavour-tagging dilution)	
Event structure	BB pair alone	Many particles not associated with the two b hadrons	\heartsuit





Experimental sensitivity

How to reach high sensitivities:

- > *B* production rate and detector acceptance
- > trigger (incl. fully hadronic decays)
- background reduction
 - ✓ Good mass resolution
 - ✓ Particle Identification
- > Good decay time resolution for B_s
- Flavour tagging





Vancouver, 9-12 April 2006

Flavor Physics and CP Violation

Andreas Schopper





Status of detectors



Expect first collisions in summer 2007!





Vancouver, 9-12 April 2006

Flavor Physics and CP Violation

Andreas Schopper

Luminosity and pileup

 10 fb^{-1} / year at low L

30 fb⁻¹ total at low L

<u>Pileup</u>

 \checkmark number of inelastic pp interactions in a bunch crossing is Poisson-distributed with mean $n = L\sigma_{inel}/f$

ATLAS/CMS (f = 32 MHz)

- \checkmark want to run at highest luminosity available
- \checkmark expect L<2×10³³ cm⁻²s⁻¹ (n < 5) for first 3 years
- ✓ at L= 10^{34} cm⁻²s⁻¹ (n = 25), expect only $B \rightarrow \mu\mu$ still possible

\blacktriangleright <u>LHCb</u> (f = 30 MHz)

- \checkmark L tuneable by adjusting the beam focus
- \checkmark choose to run at $<L>\sim 2\times 10^{32}$ cm⁻²s⁻¹ $(\max. 5 \times 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})$ $2 \text{ fb}^{-1} / \text{year}$
 - clean environment (n = 0.5)
 - 10 fb⁻¹ in first 5 years • less radiation damage (LHCb 8mm from beam, ATLAS 5 cm, CMS 4 cm)
 - will be available from 1st physics run



L = instantaneous luminosity

(nominal year = 10^7 s)

 $\sigma_{inel} = 80 \text{ mb}$

f = non - empty bunch crossing rate



ATLAS trigger

Full ATLAS trigger:

- LVL1: hardware, coarse detector granularity,
- LVL2: full granularity, LVL1 confirmation + partial rec.,
- ► EF (event filter): full event access, "offline" algorithms

Strategy for B physics trigger:

- → High luminosity (> 2×10^{33} cm⁻²s⁻¹):
 - ✓ LVL1: dimuon, $p_T > 6$ GeV/c each
- Low luminosity (or end of) fills:
 - ✓ LVL1: add single muon, $p_T > 6-8 \text{ GeV/c}$
 - ✓ LVL2: look for objects around muon
 - 2nd muon (with lower threshold) in muon RoI
 - Single e/γ or e^+e^- pair in EM RoI
 - Hadronic b decay products in Jet RoI (e.g. $B_s \rightarrow D_s^- \pi^+$)

2 μs latency
10 ms processing
1 s processing

Trigger level	Total output rate	Output rate for B physics
LVL1	75 kHz	10–15 kHz
LVL2	2 kHz	1–1.5 kHz
EF	200 Hz	10–15 Hz



CMS trigger



Trigger to cover widest range of discovery physics (Higgs, SUSY, ...)

- ► Level 1: (nominal)

3.2µs buffer, $\rightarrow 100 \text{ kHz}$

HLT (High-Level Trigger): 1s buffer, 40 ms processing, \rightarrow 100 Hz

Trigger on B events:

- Level 1: di- μ with $p_T > 3$ GeV/c each (or single μ with $p_T > 14$ GeV/c)
- HLT: Limited time budget
 - \rightarrow restrict B reconstruction to RoI around µ or use reduced number of hits/track ($D_s \pi$)

Trigger level	Total output rate (at start-up)	Output rate relevant for B physics
Level 1	50 kHz	14 kHz (1μ) 0.9 kHz (2μ)
HLT	100 Hz	~ 5 Hz of incl. b,c→µ+jet + O(1 Hz) for each excl. B mode



LHCb trigger

PC farm of ~2000 CPUs



10 MHz (visible bunch crossings)

Hardware	trigger
----------	---------

Custom electronics boards

 \checkmark Fully synchronized (40 MHz), 4 μs fixed latency

✓ "High p_T " μ , $\mu\mu$, e, γ and hadron + pileup info (e.g. $p_T(\mu) > 1.3$ GeV/c)

1 MHz (full detector readout)

Software trigger

- ✓ Full detector info available, only limit is CPU time
- ✓ 1st stage: ~1 ms \rightarrow 40 kHz (could change)
 - Tracks with min. impact param. and $p_T + (di)muon$
- ✓ High-Level trigger: ~ 10 ms
 - Full event reconstruction: excl. and incl. streams

\leq 2 kHz (storage)

Out put rate	Event type	Physics
200 Hz	Exclusive B candidates	B (core program)
600 Hz	High mass di-muons	J/ψ, b→J/ψX (unbiased)
300 Hz	D* candidates	Charm
900 Hz	Inclusive b (e.g. b→µ)	B (data mining)

exact splitting between streams can be optimized according to physics requirements
 large inclusive streams to be used to control calibration and systematics (trigger, tracking, PID, tagging)

11

Tracking performance

➢ <u>Mass resolutions</u> in MeV/c²

	ATLAS	CMS	LHCb	
$B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu$	80	46	18	
$B_s \rightarrow D_s \pi$	43	-	14	
$B_{_{S}}\rightarrow J/\psi \; \phi$	36	32	16	without
$B_{_{S}}\rightarrow J/\psi \; \phi$	16	13	8	with

without J/ψ mass with J/ψ mass constraint



Particle ID performance of LHCb

Requirements:

- ✓ Background suppression => high momentum hadrons in two-body B decays
- ✓ B flavor tagging (identify K from $b \rightarrow c \rightarrow s$) => low momentum hadrons



Flavour tagging

Tagging power	$\varepsilon D^2 = \varepsilon (1-2w)^2$ in %		
Tag	LHCb	ATLAS	CMS (1999)
Muon	1.0	0.7	(0.6)
Electron	0.4	0.4	(0.5)
Kaon	2.4	_	—
Jet/vertex	1.0	1.8–2.1	(2.3)
Same side	2.1	2.1–2.4	(2.2)

≻ <u>LHCb:</u>

- ✓ Most powerful tag is opposite kaon (from $b \rightarrow c \rightarrow s$) <
- ✓ Combined $\varepsilon D^2 \sim 6\%$ (B_s) or ~ 4% (B⁰)
- ✓ Recent neural network approach leads to ~9% for B_s
- ➢ <u>Compare with:</u>
 - \checkmark Tevatron: D0 ~2.5% , CDF ~1.5% OS and ~4% SS
 - ✓ B factories achieved ~ 30%



Expected Physics Performance

B-mixing:





sin(2 β) from B⁰ \rightarrow J/ ψ K_S

- \succ "gold-plated" decay channel at B-factories for measuring the B_d- B_d mixing phase
- > needed for extracting γ from B $\rightarrow \pi \pi$ and B_s $\rightarrow K K$, or from B $\rightarrow D^* \pi$
- ▷ in SM A_{CP}^{dir} 0, non-vanishing value $\mathcal{O}(0.01)$ could be a signal of Physics Beyond SM

$$A_{CP}^{th}(t) = A_{CP}^{dir} \cdot \cos(\Delta m_d \cdot t) + A_{CP}^{mix} \cdot \sin(\Delta m_d \cdot t)$$



> Measurement of Δm_s is one of the first LHCb physics goals

✓ Expect 80k $B_s \rightarrow D_s^- \pi^+$ events per (1year, 2fb⁻¹), average $\sigma_t \sim 40$ fs

✓ S/B ~ 3 (derived from 10⁷ fully simulated inclusive bb ev.)



\succ <u>ATLAS/CMS</u>: 5σ observation for Δm_s < 22 ps⁻¹ in (3years, 30fb⁻¹)

ϕ_s and $\Delta \Gamma_s$ from $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ (η,η'...)

- > SU(3) analogue of B \rightarrow J/ ψ K_s, measuring the B_s- \overline{B}_s mixing phase
- ⇒ in SM $\phi_s = -\arg(V_{ts}^2) = -2\lambda\eta^2 \sim -0.04$ → increased sensitivity to New Physics
- Iarge CP asymmetry would signal Physics Beyond SM
- ⇒ also needed for extracting γ from $B_s \rightarrow D_s K$ or from $B \rightarrow \pi \pi$ and $B_s \rightarrow K K$
- $J/\psi\phi$ is not a pure CP eigenstate:
- ✓ 2 CP even, 1 CP odd amplitudes contributing
- ✓ need to fit angular distributions of decay final states as function of proper time (needs external Δm_s)
- \checkmark requires very good proper time resolution

Expected sensitivity: (at $\Delta m_s = 20 \text{ ps}^{-1}$)

- ✓ <u>LHCb</u>: 125k Bs→J/ $\psi\phi$ signal events/year
 - → $\sigma_{\text{stat}}(\sin \phi_{\text{s}}) \sim 0.031$, $\sigma_{\text{stat}}(\Delta \Gamma_{\text{s}}/\Gamma_{\text{s}}) \sim 0.011$ /(1year, 2fb⁻¹) → $\sigma_{\text{stat}}(\sin \phi_{\text{s}}) \sim 0.013$ after first 5 years, adding pure CP modes like J/ψη, J/ψη' (small improvement)
- ✓ <u>ATLAS</u>: similar event rate as LHCb but less sensitive → $\sigma_{stat}(\sin \phi_s) \sim 0.08$ (1year, 10fb⁻¹)
- ✓ <u>CMS</u>: > 50k events/year, sensitivity study ongoing





Exclusive b \rightarrow s $\mu^+\mu^-$

- Suppressed decays ($\Delta B=1$ FCNC), SM BR ~ 10⁻⁶
- Forward-backward asymmetry A_{FB}(s) in the μμ restframe is a sensitive probe of New Physics [A.Ali et al., Phys. Lett. B273,505 (1991)]
- Zero point can be predicted at LO with no hadronic uncertainties, known at 5% level in SM, sensitive to NP via non-standard values of Wilson coefficients



<u>Expected sensitivity</u>: ✓<u>LHCb</u>:



4400 B⁰→K^{*0} $\mu^+\mu^-$ events/(yr,2fb⁻¹), S/B>0.4 → determine C₇^{eff}/C₉^{eff} with 13% error (SM) ✓ATLAS:

1000 B⁰ \rightarrow K^{*0} $\mu^+\mu^-$ events/(yr,10fb⁻¹), S/B>1 \checkmark Other exclusive b \rightarrow sµµ feasible (B_s, $\Lambda_{\rm b}$)





- > Very rare decay, sensitive to new physics
- → BR ~ 3.5×10^{-9} in SM, can be strongly enhanced in SUSY
- Current limit from Tevatron:
 - ✓ D0: 2.3×10⁻⁷ at 95% CL
 - ✓ CDF: 1.0×10⁻⁷ at 95% CL

LHC has prospect for significant measurement

but difficult to get reliable estimate of expected background:

- ✓ LHCb: Full simulation: 10M incl. bb events + 10M b→ μ , b→ μ events (all rejected)
- ✓ ATLAS: 80k bb→ $\mu\mu$ events with generator cuts, efficiency assuming cut factorization
- ✓ CMS: 10k b→ μ , b→ μ events with generator cuts, trigger simulated at generator level, efficiency assuming cut factorization

	1 year	$B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ signal (SM)	b→µ, b→µ background	Inclusive bb background	Other backgrounds
LHCb	2 fb ⁻¹	30	< 100	< 7500	
ATLAS	10 fb⁻¹	7	< 20		
CMS (1999)	10 fb⁻¹	7	< 1		

➤ New assessment of ATLAS/CMS reach at 10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹ in progress



 γ from B_c \rightarrow D_cK



 $B_{s}^{0}\left\{\overline{b}_{s} \underbrace{\overline{b}_{s}}{} \underbrace{\overline{b}_{$

- > 2 tree decays (b \rightarrow c and b \rightarrow u) of same magnitude ($\sim\lambda^3$) interfere via B_s mixing
 - \rightarrow insensitive to new physics
 - \rightarrow large interference effects expected

> CP asymmetry measures ($\gamma + \phi_s$), with ϕ_s being determined using $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$

 \succ needs suppression of B_s→ D_sπ background (BR~12 higher)

Important for selection:

- ✓ hadron trigger
- \checkmark mass resolution
- \checkmark proper-time resolution
- K/ π separation

Expected LHCb signal rates and background:

- ✓ 5400 signal events in (1year, 2fb⁻¹)
- \checkmark residual contamination from $\rm B_s{\rightarrow}\,D_s\pi~{\sim}\,10\%$
- ✓ $S/B_{bb} > 1$ at 90% CL (from one MC bb event)



M

 γ from $B_s \rightarrow D_s K$



Fit the 4 tagged, time-dependent rates: ✓ phase of $D_{s}^{-}K^{+} = \Delta + (\gamma + \phi_{s})$ ✓ phase of $D^+_{s} K^- = \Delta - (\gamma + \phi_s)$ \rightarrow extract both Δ and $(\gamma + \phi_s)$ Expected LHCb sensitivity: $(at \Delta m_s = 20 ps^{-1}, -20^{\circ} < \Delta < 20^{\circ})$ $\sigma(\gamma) \sim 14^{\circ}$ in (1year, 2fb⁻¹) (expected to be statistically limited) \checkmark Discrete ambiguities in γ can be resolved • if $\Delta \Gamma_s$ large enough, or

• using $B^0 \rightarrow D\pi$ and U-spin symmetry



γ from B⁰ \rightarrow D⁰K^{*0}



- Dunietz variant of Gronau-Wyler method [Phys. Lett. B270, 75 (1991)]
- Two colour-suppressed diagrams with $|A_2|/|A_1| \sim 0.4$ interfering via D⁰ mixing
- ➢ 6 decay rates, self-tagged and time-integrated





Expected signal rates and background: (1year, 2fb⁻¹), γ =65°, Δ =0

Mode (+ CP conjugates)	Yield	S/B _{bb} (90%CL)
$B^0 \rightarrow \overline{D}{}^0 \ (K^+\pi^-) \ K^{\star 0} \ (K^+\pi^-)$	3.4 k	> 2
$B^0 \rightarrow D^0 (K^- \pi^+) K^{*0} (K^+ \pi^-)$	0.5 k	> 0.3
$B^0 \rightarrow D^0_{\ CP} (K^+K^-) K^{*0} (K^+\pi^-)$	0.6 k	> 0.3

Expected LHCb sensitivity: $\sqrt{\sigma(\gamma) \sim 8^{\circ} \text{ in (1year, 2fb}^{-1)}}$ for 55°< γ <105°, -20°< Δ <20°

$\gamma \text{ from } B^{\pm} \rightarrow DK^{\pm}$

- based on Atwood-Dunietz-Soni method [Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 3257 (1997)]
- → measure relative rates of $B^- \rightarrow D(K\pi) K^-$ and $B^+ \rightarrow D(K\pi) K^+$
 - ✓ Two interfering tree B-diagrams, one colour-suppressed ($r_B \sim 0.15$)
 - ✓ Two interfering tree D-diagrams, one Double Cabibbo-suppressed ($r_D^{K\pi} \sim 0.06$)

$$B^{-}\left\{\begin{matrix} b\\ \overline{u} \\ \hline colour-allowed \end{matrix} \right. \stackrel{S}{u} \\ B^{-}\left\{\begin{matrix} b\\ \overline{u} \\ \overline{c} \\ \hline colour-suppressed \end{matrix} \right\} K^{-} \\ D^{0}\left\{\begin{matrix} c\\ \overline{u} \\ \hline Cabibbo-favoured \end{matrix} \right\} K^{-} \\ Cabibbo-favoured \end{matrix} B^{-}\left\{\begin{matrix} b\\ \overline{u} \\ \overline{c} \\ \overline{u} \\ \hline colour-suppressed \end{matrix} \right\} K^{-} \\ D^{0}\left\{\begin{matrix} c\\ \overline{u} \\ \overline{c} \\ \overline$$

Measure relative B-decay rates:

- $\Gamma(B^{-} \to (K^{-}\pi^{+})_{D} K^{-}) \propto 1 + (r_{B} r_{D}^{K\pi})^{2} + 2 r_{B} r_{D}^{K\pi} \cos\left(\delta_{B} \delta_{D}^{K\pi} \gamma\right),$ (1) $\Gamma(B^{-} \to (K^{+}\pi^{-})_{D} K^{-}) \propto r_{B}^{2} + (r_{D}^{K\pi})^{2} + 2 r_{B} r_{D}^{K\pi} \cos\left(\delta_{B} + \delta_{D}^{K\pi} - \gamma\right),$ (2) $\Gamma(B^{+} \to (K^{+}\pi^{-})_{D} K^{+}) \propto 1 + (r_{B} r_{D}^{K\pi})^{2} + 2 r_{B} r_{D}^{K\pi} \cos\left(\delta_{B} - \delta_{D}^{K\pi} + \gamma\right),$ (3) $\Gamma(B^{+} \to (K^{-}\pi^{+})_{D} K^{+}) \propto r_{B}^{2} + (r_{D}^{K\pi})^{2} + 2 r_{B} r_{D}^{K\pi} \cos\left(\delta_{B} + \delta_{D}^{K\pi} + \gamma\right),$ (4)
- > 3 observables, 5 parameters $(\gamma, \delta_B, \delta_D^{K\pi}, r_B, r_D^{K\pi})$, but $r_D^{K\pi} \sim 0.06$ known > add more D-decays to constrain further...

γ from B[±] \rightarrow DK[±]

Add further D-decays:

 $> D \rightarrow K\pi\pi\pi$ (Cabibbo favoured + DCS decay)

✓ 4 new rates with 2 new parameters, one known: $\delta_D^{K3\pi}$; $r_D^{K3\pi} \sim 0.06$

 \blacktriangleright D \rightarrow KK (CP eigenstate)

✓ 2 new rates, no new unknown: $r_D^{KK} = 1$; $\delta_D^{KK} = 0$

→ 7 relative rates and 5 unknowns: γ , r_B , δ_B , $\delta_D^{K\pi}$, $\delta_D^{K3\pi}$

 \checkmark Candidate for LHCb's statistically most precise determination of γ

✓ Estimated sensitivity: $\sigma(\gamma) \sim 5^{\circ}$ in (1year, 2fb⁻¹) → Studies ongoing...

Further B-channel considered...

 $\blacktriangleright B^{\scriptscriptstyle\pm}\!\rightarrow D^*K^{\scriptscriptstyle\pm}$ with

- $D^* \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow D^*$ and D^0 have same CP
- $D^* \rightarrow D^0 \gamma \rightarrow D^*$ and D^0 have opposite CP

γ from B⁰ $\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ and B_s $\rightarrow K^+K^-$

Conclusion

- Experiments at *LHC* will pursue an extensive program on B-physics
 with high statistics
 - \checkmark access to B_s decays

> LHCb can fully exploit the large B-meson yields at LHC from the start-up

- ✓ with excellent mass and decay-time resolution, and particle ID
- \checkmark with a flexible and robust trigger dedicated to B-physics
- ✓ measure e.g. Δm_s with 5 σ in ~1month (for Δm_s <40 ps⁻¹)
- > ATLAS and CMS will also contribute significantly

 \checkmark competitive for modes with muons and small BR

≻ <u>After 5 years</u> :	σ	SM expectation
$\phi_{s}(B_{s} \rightarrow \bar{c}c\bar{s}s)$	~0.013	~0.035
$Br(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$	$\sim 0.7 \times 10^{-9}$	~3.5×10 ⁻⁹
γ (D _s K, DK)	~1°	$\sim 60^{\circ}$ (tree only)
γ (KK+ $\pi\pi$)	$\sim 2^{\circ}$	$\sim 60^{\circ}$ (tree + penguin)

Flavor Physics at LHC will contribute significantly to the search for NP via precise and complementary measurements of CKM angles and the study of loop decays

28

$B^0 \rightarrow K^{0*} \gamma$ and $B_s \rightarrow \phi \gamma$

In SM:

- \geq loop-suppressed b \rightarrow s γ transitions
- ightarrow BR(B⁰→ K^{*0}γ) = (4.3±0.4) 10⁻⁵
- \succ expected direct CP violation <1% for B⁰→ K^{*0}γ
- \succ expected CP violation in mixing ~0 for B_s→ φ γ
 - \rightarrow sensitive to New Physics

K*0

B⁰

b

u,c,t

S

$$\alpha$$
 from $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

➤ Time-dependent Dalitz plot analysis of B⁰ → ρπ → π⁺π⁻π⁰ permits extraction of α along with amplitudes + strong phases [Snyder & Quinn]

- > Neutral π^0 reconstruction with clusters unassociated to charged tracks
- ➤ Annual yield ~ 14k events, S/B ~ 1.3 (LHCb) Complicated 11-parameter fit, studied with toy MC Statistical precision of $\sigma(\alpha) \sim 10^\circ$ achievable in one year Study of B⁰ → ρρ has started, few ×10² ρ⁰ρ⁰/year (for BR = 10⁻⁶)

LHC underground

LHC status (March 2006)

- All key objectives have been reached for the end of 2005.
 - End of repair of QRL, reinstallation of sector 7-8 and cold test of sub-sectors A and B.
 - Cool-down of full sector 8-1.
 - Pressure test of sector 4-5.
 - Endurance test of two full octants of power converters.
- Magnet installation rate is now at 20 per week with more than 450 installed (25%). In the next month, we will ramp up to 25/week. Installation will finish end February 2007.