#### **CERN-FNAL HCP 2009**

### Experimental Aspects of Heavy Flavour Physics

#### .... The saga of the penguin and the polar bear....

Valerie Gibson







### Disclaimer....

The title "Experimental Aspects of Heavy Flavour Physics" covers an enormous range of topics. Therefore I can only present a very selective personal view. Concentrate on mostly experimental aspects of

The quest to understand the SM picture of Heavy Flavours and the search for New Physics.





### Acknowledgements

I have taken my inspiration from many recent results and conference talks....If you would like to know more about the current status of Heavy Flavour Physics, have a look at...

Recontres de Moriond EW/QCD 2009

### Heavy Flavor Averaging Group

http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hfag/

Many thanks to those who (un)knowingly help me: T.Browder, L.Esteve, T.Gershon, G.Hamel de Monchenault,

U.Kerzel, T.Ruf, Y.Sakai, K.Trabelsi, G.Wilkinson and many more....





#### Overview

- Introduction
- The Standard Model
- B Physics



- Celebrating the B factories
- What have we learnt from the Tevatron ?
- The LHC era
- and beyond....
- Summary



#### HADRON COLLIDER PHYSICS SUMMER SCHOOL

### Role of Heavy Flavour Physics

#### Heavy flavour physics has led the way to

- The 3 generation Standard Model
- The CKM picture of flavour
- CP Violation
- SM cannot be ultimate theory



- low-energy effective theory of a more fundamental theory at a higher energy scale (TeV range)
- Hierarchy problem: New Physics required to cancel radiative corrections to the Higgs mass but leave the SM EW predictions unaffected
- NP needs to have a special flavour structure
- provide the suppression mechanism for FCNC processes already observed.
- we need to measure the flavour structure to distinguish between the NP models.
- Flavour physics goes hand-in-hand with direct searches



### **Historical Note**



0.5

2.5  $\Gamma_7$  [GeV]

1.0



6/83



### The Standard Model



Physical quark states in the Standard Model

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}_{L} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ s \end{pmatrix}_{L} \begin{pmatrix} t \\ b \end{pmatrix}_{L}, \dots, u_{R}, d_{R}, c_{R}, s_{R}, t_{R}, b_{R}$$

Lagrangian for charged current weak decays

$$L_{cc} = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} J^{\mu}_{cc} W^{*}_{\mu} + h.c.$$

where

$$J_{cc}^{\mu} = \left(\overline{u}, \overline{c}, \overline{t}\right)_{L} \gamma^{\mu} V_{CKM} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}_{L}$$



### **CKM Matrix**



Quarks

weak  
statesCKM matrixmass  
states
$$\begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$
 $\begin{pmatrix} V_{ij} \text{ proportional to transition amplitude from quark j to quark i toq quark i to quark i toq$ 

Antiquarks

 $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}' \\ \vec{s}' \\ \vec{b}' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud}^* & V_{us}^* & V_{ub}^* \\ V_{cd}^* & V_{cs}^* & V_{cb}^* \\ V_{td}^* & V_{ts}^* & V_{tb}^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \vec{a} \\ \vec{s} \\ \vec{b} \end{pmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{b} & \swarrow & V_{ub}^* \\ V_{ub}^* & V_{ub}^* \\ V_{ub}^* & V_{ub}^* \end{pmatrix}$ 

CPV due to complex phases of CKM matrix elements



### **CKM Matrix**



- CKM matrix is complex and unitary
- 4 independent parameters

$$\hat{V}_{\rm CKM}^{+}\hat{V}_{\rm CKM}=1$$

- These 4 numbers are fundamental constants of nature and must be determined from experiment
- Standard parametrization (PDG)
  - 3 angles  $(\theta_{12}, \theta_{23}, \theta_{13})$  1 phase  $\delta$

$$V_{CKM} = R_{23} \times R_{13} \times R_{12}$$

$$R_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad R_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \quad R_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$s_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij}$$
  $c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij}$ 

Wolfenstein parameterization (perturbative form)

$$\lambda = s_{12} \quad A = \frac{s_{23}}{s_{12}^2} \quad \rho = \frac{s_{13} \cos \delta}{s_{12} s_{23}} \quad \eta = \frac{s_{13} \sin \delta}{s_{12} s_{23}}$$
$$\lambda = \sin \theta_{12} \approx 0.2$$

Reflects hierarchy of strengths of quark transitions



ADRON

Wolfenstein parameterization to  $O(\lambda^3)$ :

$$V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + O(\lambda^4)$$

Next-to leading order corrections in  $\lambda$  will be important in LHC era:

$$V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} - \frac{\lambda^4}{8} & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda + A^2\lambda^5(\frac{1}{2} - \rho - i\eta) & 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} - \frac{\lambda^4}{8}(1 + 4A^2) & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \overline{\rho} - i\overline{\eta}) & -A\lambda^2 + A\lambda^4(1/2 - \rho - i\eta) & 1 - \frac{A^2\lambda^4}{2} \end{pmatrix} + O(\lambda^6)$$

 $(\overline{\rho},\overline{\eta}) \equiv (1-\lambda^2/2)(\rho,\eta)$ 

HADRON

COLLIDER



**Requirements for CP violation** 

$$\binom{m_t^2 - m_c^2}{m_t^2 - m_u^2} \binom{m_c^2 - m_u^2}{m_c^2 - m_u^2} \times \binom{m_b^2 - m_s^2}{m_b^2 - m_d^2} \binom{m_b^2 - m_d^2}{m_s^2 - m_d^2} \times J_{CP} \neq 0$$



 $J_{CP}^{}$ Jarlskog determinant

where

$$J_{CP} = \left| \operatorname{Im} \left\{ V_{i\alpha} V_{j\beta} V_{i\beta}^* V_{j\alpha}^* \right\} \right| \quad (i \neq j, \alpha \neq \beta)$$

Using parameterizations

$$J_{CP} = s_{12}s_{13}s_{23}c_{12}c_{23}c_{13}\sin\delta = \lambda^6 A^2 \eta = O(10^{-5})$$

CP violation is small in the Standard Model



### **Unitarity Triangles**

CKM matrix is unitary : 12 conditions (6 normalisation, 6 orthoganality)

$$V_{ud}V_{ub}^{*} + V_{cd}V_{cb}^{*} + V_{td}V_{tb}^{*} = 0 \text{ (db)}$$

$$V_{us}V_{ub}^{*} + V_{cs}V_{cb}^{*} + V_{ts}V_{tb}^{*} = 0 \text{ (sb)}$$

$$V_{ud}V_{us}^{*} + V_{cd}V_{cs}^{*} + V_{td}V_{ts}^{*} = 0 \text{ (ds)}$$

$$V_{ud}V_{us}^{*} + V_{cd}V_{cs}^{*} + V_{ub}V_{tb}^{*} = 0 \text{ (dt)}$$

$$V_{ud}V_{td}^{*} + V_{us}V_{ts}^{*} + V_{ub}V_{tb}^{*} = 0 \text{ (ct)}$$

$$V_{ud}V_{cd}^{*} + V_{us}V_{cs}^{*} + V_{ub}V_{tb}^{*} = 0 \text{ (ct)}$$

$$V_{ud}V_{cd}^{*} + V_{us}V_{cs}^{*} + V_{ub}V_{cb}^{*} = 0 \text{ (ct)}$$

$$V_{ud}V_{cd}^{*} + V_{us}V_{cs}^{*} + V_{ub}V_{cb}^{*} = 0 \text{ (ct)}$$

$$V_{cd}V_{cd}^{*} + V_{us}V_{cs}^{*} + V_{ub}V_{cb}^{*} = 0 \text{ (ct)}$$

All 6  $\Delta$ 's have the same area (=  $J_{CP}/2$  ), a measure of CPV in the Standard Model.

15/6/2009





$$\eta \frac{Im}{V_{ud}V_{td}} + V_{us}V_{ts}^* + V_{ub}V_{tb}^* = 0$$

$$\frac{V_{ub}^*V_{tb}}{A\lambda^3}$$

$$\eta\lambda^2 + \beta \frac{\beta - \beta_s}{\beta - \beta_s} \frac{\beta_s}{1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} + \rho\lambda^2}$$

$$\beta_s = \arg\left[-\frac{V_{cb}^*V_{cs}}{V_{tb}^*V_{ts}}\right] \sim \eta\lambda^2 \sim 1^{\circ}$$

2  $\Delta$ 's identical to O( $\lambda$ <sup>3</sup>)



#### The Ultimate Quest...

## **To discover New Physics**

# beyond the Standard Model



#### The Quest...



NP models introduce new particles which could

- be produced and discovered as real particles
- appear as virtual particles in loop processes  $\rightarrow$  observable deviations from the SM expectations in flavour physics and CPV

#### Heavy flavour programme

- Precision measurements of CKM elements
- Compare tree level processes with loop processes sensitive to NP
- Measure all angles and sides in many different ways and look for inconsistencies
- Measure processes very suppressed in SM





#### The Tools....



# **B Physics**

STORE OF



### Why the b-quark ?



- Heaviest quark that forms hadronic bound states (m~4.7 GeV)
- Must decay outside 3<sup>rd</sup> family
  - All decays are CKM suppressed
    Long lifetime (~1.6 ps)
- High mass: many accessible final states (all Br's are small)
- Dominant decay process: "tree" b→c transition
- Very suppressed "tree" b→u transition
- FCNC: "penguin" b→s,d transition
- Flavour oscillations (b→t "box" diagram)
- CP violation expect large CP asymmetries in some B decays



### **B** Physics



> 25 pages

PDG 1986	BOTTOM MESONS <sup>a</sup>  B <sup>+</sup> -	$= u\overline{b}, B^0 = d\overline{b}, \overline{B}^0 = \overline{d}b, B^- = \overline{u}b$	PDG 2009
	$B^{\pm}$ $\frac{1}{2}(0^{-})^{p}$ 5271.2 $B^{+}$	$(\text{ or } B^{-} \rightarrow \text{chg. conj.})$ $^{0}\pi^{+}$ (1.1 ± 0.6)% 2303 $^{2}2009^{-}-^{+}\pi^{+}$ (2.7 ± 1.7)% 2343	
	$m_{B0} - m_{B \pm} = 4.0 \qquad J/$ $\pm 3.4 \qquad - 0$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	> 25
	$ \frac{B^{0}}{B^{0}} \stackrel{\frac{1}{2}(0^{-})^{p}}{\pm 2.8} \qquad \begin{array}{c} B^{0} \stackrel{1}{\longrightarrow} \\ D^{*} \\ P^{+} \\ e^{+} \\ e^{+} \\ e^{+} \\ e^{+} \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	
	$B^{\pm}, B^{0}, \overline{B}^{0} \qquad (14.2 \pm 2.7) \times 10^{-13}  e^{\pm}$ (not separated) <sup>q</sup> $C\tau=0.043 \qquad D^{0}$ $\frac{\Gamma(B \rightarrow \overline{B} \rightarrow \ell^{-} \text{ any})}{\Gamma(B \rightarrow \ell^{\pm} \text{ any})} < 0.12 \qquad K$ $\frac{\Gamma(B \rightarrow e^{\pm} \nu \text{ noncharm-hadrons})}{\Gamma(B \rightarrow e^{\pm} \nu \text{ hadrons})} < 0.04 \qquad \mu^{+}$	$ \begin{aligned} & \overset{\pm}{\nu} \text{ hadrons} & (12.3 \pm 0.8)\% \\ & \overset{\pm}{\nu} \text{ hadrons} & (11.0 \pm 0.9)\% \\ & \text{o anything} & (80 \pm 28)\% \\ & \text{anything} & (\text{ seen }) \\ & \text{anything} & (>3.6)\% \\ & \text{anything} & (>2.2)\% \\ & \overset{\pm}{\tau}^{-} \text{ anything} & (<0.6)\% \\ & \overset{\pm}{\tau}^{-} \text{ anything} & (<0.6)\% \\ & \overset{\pm}{\mu}^{-} \text{ anything} & (1.2 \pm 0.3)\% \\ & \overset{\bullet}{\nu}(2010)^{\pm} \text{ anything} & (23 \pm 9)\% \end{aligned} $	
and the second			

- 1992 Evidence for
- 1993 First observation of  $B \to K \gamma, B \to \pi^{+}\pi$ , time dependent B mixing
  - 1994 Evidence for E B , measurement of exclusive B lifetime
  - 1998 Discovery of  $B_c$
  - 2001 Discovery of CPV in B system
  - 2004 Direct CPV in B system
  - 2006 Measurement of B<sub>s</sub> mixing

15/6/2009

HADRON

COLLIDER PHYSICS



### **B** Mixing



Mixing of neutral B mesons governed by

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\begin{pmatrix}a\\b\end{pmatrix} = H\begin{pmatrix}a\\b\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}M_{11} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{11} & M_{12} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}\\M_{12}^* - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}^* & M_{22} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{22}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}a\\b\end{pmatrix}$$

Physical mass eigenstates

$$\left|B_{L,H}\right\rangle = p\left|B^{0}\right\rangle \pm q\left|\overline{B^{0}}\right\rangle$$

$$\frac{q}{p} = \sqrt{\frac{M_{12}^* - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}^*}{M_{12} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}}}$$

$$\left|p\right|^{2}+\left|q\right|^{2}=2$$

- p and q represent the amount of state mixing

$$\Delta m = m_H - m_L = 2|M_{12}|$$

$$\Delta m_d = 0.507 \pm 0.005 \text{ ps}^{-1}$$

$$\Delta m_s = 17.77 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.007 \text{ ps}^{-1}$$

$$\Delta \Gamma_s \approx 10\%$$

15/6/2009



### **CP** Violation in B System



Decay amplitudes of flavour states  $\rightarrow$  final state f

$$A_{f} = \left\langle f \left| H \right| B^{0} \right\rangle \quad \overline{A_{f}} = \left\langle f \left| H \right| \overline{B^{0}} \right\rangle$$

Define

General time dependence of decay rate for initially pure flavour states

 $\sim_f - \frac{1}{p} A_f$ 

$$\Gamma_{f} \equiv \left| \left\langle f \left| H \right| B^{0}(t) \right\rangle \right|^{2} = \frac{1 + \left| \lambda_{f} \right|^{2}}{2} \left| A_{f} \right|^{2} e^{-t/\tau} \left[ \cosh y t / \tau + \Omega_{f} \sinh y t / \tau + C_{f} \cos x t / \tau - S_{f} \sin x t / \tau \right]$$
  

$$\overline{\Gamma}_{f} \equiv \left| \left\langle f \left| H \right| \overline{B}^{0}(t) \right\rangle \right|^{2} = \frac{1 + \left| \lambda_{f} \right|^{2}}{2} \left| \frac{p}{q} A_{f} \right|^{2} e^{-t/\tau} \left[ \cosh y t / \tau + \Omega_{f} \sinh y t / \tau - C_{f} \cos x t / \tau + S_{f} \sin x t / \tau \right]$$







**Dominant decays** 





#### Rare hadronic decays







#### Radiative and leptonic decays







#### 15/6/2009



#### Where to start ?



### Υ (4s) Resonance



ADRON

COLLIDER PHYSICS



### Υ (4s) Resonance

Symmetric-energy collider : B mesons produced ~ at rest in the CM frame which, combined with a short B lifetime (~1.5 ps), makes flight distance unmeasurably small.

Asymmetric-energy collider : with boost  $\beta\gamma \sim 0.6$ 







#### **Asymmetric B Factories**



PEP-II @ SLACHigh Energy Ring :  $9.0 \text{ GeV } e^-$ Low Energy Ring :  $3.1 \text{ GeV } e^+$ Design luminosity :  $3 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ Peak luminosity :  $1.207 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ 

#### KEK-B @ KEK

High Energy Ring : 8.0 GeV  $e^-$ Low Energy Ring : 3.5 GeV  $e^+$ Design luminosity : 1 x 10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> Peak luminosity : 1.71 x 10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>

Beam crossing angle : 22 mrad





#### ADRON Luminosity at B Factories COLLIDER PHYSICS Cumulated stats : ~1400 fb<sup>-1</sup> Integrated Luminosit 1400 Luminosity Integrated 840 fb<sup>-1</sup> 1200 750 fb<sup>-1</sup> at Υ(4s) \_uminosity (fb<sup>-1</sup>) 1000 Luminosity Integrated 531 fb<sup>-1</sup> 433 fb<sup>-1</sup> at Y(4s) 800 600 400 200 0 BaBar : 465M BB pairs = final sample 2000/1 2002/1 : 657M BB pairs = max current sample Belle (final sample expected ~800M BB pairs) K.Trabelsi, Moriond 2009

#### 15/6/2009



### **BELLE and BABAR**

3/4 layers DSSD silicon detector Threshold Cherenkov + TOF Central drift chamber EM Cal Csl RPCs 1.5T solenoid





5 layers DSSD silicon detector Ring-Imaging Cherenkov DIRC

Drift chamber EM Cal Csl RPCs

1.5T SC solenoid

### Kinematics at the $\Upsilon$ (4s)

Precise knowledge of CM energy used to constrain kinematics of B system.



Estimate background from sidebands

Continuum background suppressed using event shape

$$m_{ES} = \sqrt{E_{beam}^{*2} - p_B^{*2}} \quad E_{beam}^{*} = \sqrt{S/2}$$

HADRON

COLLIDER







## What to measure first ?



# $Sin(2\beta) \equiv Sin(2\phi_1)$



$$\beta = \tan^{-1} \frac{\overline{\eta}}{1 - \overline{\rho}}$$



### $\beta$ : b $\rightarrow$ ccs modes

Golden decay mode:  $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^0$ , final state CP eigenstate Method:

Count number of signal events reconstructed with  $B^0$  and  $\overline{B}^0$  tags as a function of  $\Delta t$ Observed asymmetry depends on time resolution and tagging purity of sample.

 $A_{CP}(t) = \frac{N(B_{tag} = B^{0}) - N(B_{tag} = \overline{B}^{0})}{N(B_{tag} = B^{0}) + N(B_{tag} = \overline{B}^{0})}$ 



$$\begin{split} \lambda_f &= \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)_{B^0} \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)_{K^0} \left(\frac{A}{A}\right)_{J/\Psi K^0} \\ &= -\left(\frac{V_{tb}^* V_{td}}{V_{tb} V_{td}^*}\right) \left(\frac{V_{cs} V_{cd}^*}{V_{cs}^* V_{cd}}\right) \left(\frac{V_{cb} V_{cs}^*}{V_{cb}^* V_{cs}}\right) \end{split}$$

$$\approx \pm \{(1-2\omega) \times \sin 2\beta \times \sin(\Delta m\Delta t)\} \otimes \mathsf{R}(\Delta t)$$

$$= \pm \{(1-2\omega) \times \sin 2\beta \times \sin(\Delta m\Delta t)\} \otimes \mathsf{R}(\Delta t)$$

$$= \lim\{\lambda_f\} = \lim\{-e^{-2i\beta}\} = \sin 2\beta$$

$$= \lim\{-e^{-2i\beta}\} = \sin 2\beta$$

15/6/2009

ADRON

OLLIDER




### And now...



Belle : 535M BB; PRL98 (2007) 031802 BaBar : 465M BB; PRD79 (2009) 072009



**CERN/FNAL Summer School** 

### $\beta$ : b $\rightarrow$ ccs modes



Other measurements sensitive to  $cos(2\beta)$  remove ambiguity



HADRON

COLLIDER PHYSICS

### $\beta_{\text{eff}}$ in other modes



Increasing tree diagram amplitude

Increasing sensitivity to new physics

ADRON



15/6/2009

### $\beta_{eff}$ : b $\rightarrow$ sqq modes

Time-dependent Dalitz plot analyses sensitive to  $sin(2\beta_{eff})$  and  $cos(2\beta_{eff})$ 



ADRON

COLLIDER PHYSICS





Non-zero  $\beta_{eff}$  is observed in b-sqq penguin transition Smaller error is required to see any deviation between sin(2 $\beta_{eff}$ ) and sin(2 $\beta$ )



## Angle $\alpha \equiv \phi_2$



$$\alpha = \pi - \beta - \gamma$$

$$\beta = \tan^{-1} \frac{\overline{\eta}}{1 - \overline{\rho}}$$

$$\gamma = \tan^{-1} \frac{\eta}{\rho}$$

$$\beta = \tan^{-1} \frac{\eta}{1 - \overline{\rho}}$$

$$\gamma = \tan^{-1} \frac{\eta}{\rho}$$



5

Strangeless-charmless two-body decay;  $b \rightarrow uud$  transition, final state

CP eigenstate.

OLLIDE



If tree amplitude dominates

 $\lambda^t{}_{\pi^+\pi^-}=+e^{-2i(eta+\gamma)}=e^{2ilpha}$ 

S  

$$S_{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}} = sin 2\alpha$$
  $C_{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}} = 0$   
 $S_{f} = \frac{2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_{f}}{1 + |\lambda_{f}|^{2}}$   $C_{f} = \frac{1 - |\lambda_{f}|^{2}}{1 + |\lambda_{f}|^{2}}$ 

... but penguin contributions cannot be neglected

$$\lambda_{\pi^+\pi^-}^{t+p} \approx e^{2i\alpha} \left[ 1 + 2i \Big| \frac{P}{T} \Big| \sin \alpha e^{i(\delta_P - \delta_T)} \right]$$

 $\alpha e^{i(\delta_P - \delta_T)} \int S_{\pi^+ \pi^-} = \sin 2\alpha - 2 \left| \frac{P}{T} \right| \sin \alpha \cos 2\alpha \cos \left( \delta_P - \delta_T \right) + O\left( \left| \frac{P}{T} \right|^2 \right)$ 

 $\left|\frac{P_{T}}{T}\right| \sim 30\%$ 

In general let  $\lambda_{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}} \equiv |\lambda_{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}}| e^{2i\alpha_{eff}}$  and fit time-dependent CP asymmetry  $A_{CP}(t) = \sqrt{1 - C_{\pi\pi}^{2}} \sin 2\alpha_{eff} \sin (\Delta mt) - C_{\pi\pi} \cos(\Delta mt)$ 



### $\alpha: B \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$







 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ A(B°  $\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$ )

Ambiguities: 4 triangle orientations  $\rightarrow$  4-fold ambiguity for  $\Delta \alpha$  $\alpha \Leftrightarrow (\pi - \alpha) \Rightarrow 8$ -fold ambiguity for  $\alpha$ 

Need to measure  $Br(B^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0)$  and  $Br(\overline{B}^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0)$  $\sigma(\Delta \alpha)$  determines  $\sigma(\alpha)$ 

6-98 64 18 A3

15/6/2009

 $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$ 

 $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$ 

 $A_{+-} + \sqrt{2}A_{00} = \sqrt{2}A_{0+}$ 

 $\overline{A}_{+-} + \sqrt{2}\overline{A}_{00} = \sqrt{2}\overline{A}_{0-}$ 

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \widetilde{A}(\overline{B}^{\circ} \to \pi^{+} \pi^{-}) \qquad A(B^{\circ} \to \pi^{\circ} \pi^{\circ}$ 

 $\widetilde{A}(\overline{B} \rightarrow \pi^{-}\pi^{\circ}) = A(B^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{\circ})$ 

 $\widetilde{A}(\overline{B}^{\circ} \rightarrow \pi^{\circ}\pi^{\circ})$ 

**Neglecting EWP** 

 $|A_{0+}| = |\overline{A}_{0+}|$ 



48/83



### $\alpha: B \to \rho \rho$



- $B \to \rho\rho \text{ similar to } B \to \pi\pi$  $\bigcup Br(B^0 \to \rho^+ \rho^-) \sim 5 \times Br(B^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-) \text{ and } Br(B^0 \to \rho^0 \rho^0) \sim 4\% \times Br(B^0 \to \rho^+ \rho^-)$
- $\lor$  |P/T| smaller ~ 4%
- $\underbrace{ \\ \hline \\ } \text{Time-dependent measurement for } B^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \rho^0 \text{ possible} \rightarrow S_{00} \\ \text{lifts 4-fold ambiguity} }$
- $\begin{array}{ccc} & \rho & \text{has spin 1} \rightarrow 3 \text{ polarization states} \\ & \text{Mixture CP odd and CP even} \end{array}$

1 longitudinal  $\propto \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2$ 2 transverse  $\propto \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 e^{\pm i\phi}$ 

Integrate over  $\phi$ 

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma} \frac{d^2 \Gamma}{d\cos\theta_1 d\cos\theta_2} = \frac{9}{16} \left[ 4\cos^2\theta_1 \cos^2\theta_2 f_L + \sin^2\theta_1 \sin^2\theta_2 (1 - f_L) \right]$$

is almost longitudinally polarized



 $= 0.992 \pm 0.024_{-0.013}^{+0.026}$ 



#### 15/6/2009





#### 15/6/2009



### Summary $\alpha$





$$\alpha = (89.0_{-4.2}^{+4.4})^{\circ} 60\%$$
 c.l. interval

 $\alpha$  is now a precision 4.8% measurement Note:  $\beta @ 4.2\%$ 



# Angle $\gamma \equiv \phi_3$









### $\gamma : B \rightarrow DK$

The measurement of  $\gamma$  via tree processes provides a SM benchmark that must be met by any New Physics model

The theoretically cleanest method measures  $\gamma$  via the interference between  $B \rightarrow D^0 K$  and  $B \rightarrow \overline{D^0} K$ 



Common parameters: CKM angle  $\gamma$ Amplitude ratio,  $r_B$ Strong phase difference,  $\delta_B$  $\gamma$  precision very sensitive to value of  $r_B$ 

$$\frac{\left\langle B \to \overline{D^0} K \right\rangle}{\left\langle B \to D^0 K \right\rangle} = r_B e^{i(\delta_B - \gamma)}$$

$$Y_B \sim \frac{|V_{ub}V_{cs}^*|}{|V_{cb}V_{us}^*|} \times |\text{col. supp}| = 0.1 - 0.2$$

### HADRON COLLIDER PHYSICS SUMMER SCHOOL

### $\gamma : B \rightarrow DK$



### Reconstruct D in final states accessible to both $D^0$ and $D^0$

- **"ADS" Method:** Atwood, Dunietz, Soni; PRL78 (1997) 3257; PRD63 (2001) 036005.
- $D \rightarrow Cabibbo favoured and doubly-suppressed decays e.g. K^+\pi^-, K^+\pi^-\pi^0$

"GLW" Method: Gronau, London, Wyler; PLB253 (1991) 483; PLB265 (1991) 172.

 $D \rightarrow CP$  Eigenstates e.g.  $K^+K^-$ ,  $\pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $K_s\pi^0$ 

"Dalitz" or "GGSZ" Method: Giri, Grossman, Soffer, Zupan, PRD68 054018 (2003). D  $\rightarrow$  three-body decays e.g.  $K_s \pi^+ \pi^-$ ,  $K_s K^+ K^-$ 

Time-integrated analyses, tagging not required Effects due to charm mixing and CP violation negligible Different B decays (e.g. DK,  $D^*K$ ,  $DK^*$ ) have different hadronic factors  $r_B$ ,  $\delta_B$ Strategy: combine as many channels as possible to improve  $\gamma$  sensitivity



Currently most powerful method for extraction of  $\gamma$ Exploit interference pattern in Dalitz plot for  $B^{\mp} \rightarrow D(K_s h^+ h^-) K^{\mp}$ 



Sensitivity varies strongly over Dalitz plane (mixture ADS+GLW) Input knowledge of *D* decay amplitude, introduces model uncertainty

Simultaneous fit to Dalitz plot density for B<sup>+</sup>/B<sup>-</sup> data

ADRON

OLLIDER



15/6/2009



### GGSZ (Dalitz) Method



BaBar : 383M BB; PRD78 (2008) 034023 Belle : 657M BB; ArXiv:0803.3375  $x_{\pm} = r_B \cos(\delta_B \pm \gamma)$  $y_{\pm} = r_B \sin(\delta_B \pm \gamma)$  $\gamma = \left(76^{+12}_{-13} \pm 4 \pm 9\right)^{6}$  $B \rightarrow DK, D^*K$  $D \rightarrow K_{s}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ model error  $\gamma = (76 \pm 22 \pm 5 \pm 5)^{\circ}$  $B \rightarrow DK, D^*K, DK^*$  $D \rightarrow K_{s}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}, K_{s}K^{+}K^{-}$ Note: difference in Belle & BaBar

stat errors due to values of r<sub>B</sub>

### 15/6/2009



### Summary $\gamma$



### World average combinations vary according to statistics philosophy...

frequentist











 $V_{ub}$  and  $V_{cb}$ 



### $|V_{ub}|$ and $|V_{cb}|$ determined from semi-leptonic B decays

At tree level everything is clean

### QCD corrections must be included

- Inclusive measurements :
   OPE → total s.l. decay rate, moments
- Exclusive measurement :
   Form factors from LQCD

 $V_{ub} \text{ suffers from large } b \to c \text{ background}$  $\frac{\Gamma(b \to u\ell \nu)}{\Gamma(b \to c\ell \nu)} \sim \left| \frac{V_{ub}}{V_{cb}} \right|^2 \sim \frac{1}{50}$ 



Theory and experiment analyses are well advanced

- Briefly comment on current status





15/6/2009



 $\tau v$ 



The helicity suppressed  $B \rightarrow \tau v$  annihilation decay sensitive to  $f_B / V_{ub} / A$ lso sensitive to tree-level charged Higgs



$$Br(B^{+} \to \tau^{+}\nu) = \frac{G_{F}^{2}}{8\pi} |V_{ub}|^{2} f_{B}^{2} m_{B} m_{\tau}^{2} \tau_{B} \left(1 - \frac{m_{\tau}^{2}}{m_{B}^{2}}\right)^{2}$$

An excess of events is clearly visible in signal region of "Extra Energy in Calorimeter"

$$Br(B^{+} \to \tau^{+}\nu) = (1.65^{+0.38}_{-0.37}) \times 10^{-4}$$
  
Semi-leptonic tag  
$$Br(B^{+} \to \tau^{+}\nu) = (1.2 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-4}$$

BaBar : 383M BB; PRD77 (2008) 011107 Belle : 657M BB; ArXiv:0809.3834 hadronic tag







~2.5 $\sigma$  discrepancy between Br(B $\rightarrow \tau \nu$ ) and CKM from other measurements





Combining with B mixing results removes dependence on  $f_B$ 

$$\frac{Br(B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu)}{\Delta m_d} = \frac{3\pi}{4} \frac{m_\tau^2 \tau_B}{m_W^2 S(x_t)} \left(1 - \frac{m_\tau^2}{m_B^2}\right)^2 \frac{\sin^2 \beta}{\sin^2 \gamma} \frac{1}{|V_{ud}|^2 B_{B_d}}$$

Tension with  $sin 2\beta$  persists.

Theory free prediction for  $B_{Bd} \sim 2.7\sigma$  from LQCD value



### $B \rightarrow \tau \nu$



Tree-level charged Higgs contribution interferes destructively with SM

W diagram 1000**Disfavoured region** annıhılatıon 10 33 (05%) - C.1 800 Br/Br<sup>SM</sup><1  $\mathbf{H}^+$ H<sup>±</sup> Mass (GeV/c<sup>2</sup>) 600 e.g. MSSM (G.Isidori; ArXiv:0710.5377) 400  $Br(B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu) \approx Br^{SM} \times \left(1 - \frac{m_B^2}{m_H^2} \tan^2 \beta\right)$ 200 Tevatron Run LEP Excluded (95% C.L.) Br  $=1.77\pm0.65$  $B\overline{r^{SM}}$ 20 40 60 80 100 tan B Large Br/Br<sup>SM</sup> ruled out by Br upper limits



### $B \rightarrow \tau \nu$



### B factories versus LHC (ATLAS) for the charged Higgs



U.Haisch, hep-ph/0805.2141; ATLAS curve added by Steve Robertson (LLWI 2009) D.Eriksson, F.Mahmoudi and O.Stal, JHEP 0811:0.35 (2008) for MSSM interpretation





### **B** Mixing

Traditional method for measuring  $V_{td}$  and  $V_{ts}$  is through box diagrams



### Input theory from LQCD for $B_{Bq}$ and $f_{Bq}$ to extract $|V_{td}|$ or $|V_{td}/V_{ts}|$



15/6/2009

ADRON

COLLIDER

### **B** Mixing



### 15/6/2009

CERN - FERA

COLLIDER PHYSICS


#### 15/6/2009



### Summary of Sides

CP Conserving Measurements  $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}|$ ,  $\Delta m_d$ ,  $|\Delta m_d/\Delta m_s|$ ,  $B \rightarrow \tau v$ 



## **Global CKM Fit**





HADRON

COLLIDER PHYSICS







### The "K $\pi$ Puzzle

"K $\pi$  Puzzle" published by Belle in Nature 2008....

Belle : 535M BB; Nature 452 (2008) 332

Direct CPV asymmetry in  $B \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$  decays different to  $B \rightarrow K^+\pi^0$  decays ??



### HFAG 2009

$$A_{CP}(K^{+}\pi^{-}) = -0.098^{+0.012}_{-0.011}$$
 8.1  $\sigma$ 

$$A_{CP}(K^{+}\pi^{0}) = +0.050 \pm 0.025$$

$$\Delta A_{K\pi} = A_{CP} \left( K^{+} \pi^{-} \right) - A_{CP} \left( K^{+} \pi^{0} \right)$$
  
= -0.147 ± 0.028

"Kπ Puzzle"

**CERN/FNAL Summer School** 

**5.3**σ





### Model independent method to detect NP

M.Gronau : PLB82 (2005) 627



COLLIDER



FCNC b $\rightarrow$ s transition, very sensitive to NP



The forward-backward asymmetry arises from the interference between  $\gamma$  and Z<sup>0</sup> contributions

$$A_{FB}\left(s = m_{\mu\mu}^{2}\right) = -C_{10}\,\xi(s)\left[\operatorname{Re}(C_{9})F_{1} + \frac{1}{s}C_{7}F_{2}\right]$$

The zero crossing point is most theoretically clean

$$s_0^{SM} = 4.36_{-0.31}^{+0.33} \text{ GeV}^2$$
  
Beneke et al: EPJC41 (2005) 1<sup>-1</sup>

73



Ali et al; PLB273 (1991) 505

# FCNC Rare Decays: $B \rightarrow K^* \mu \mu$

Recent results of A<sub>FB</sub> from the B factories show interesting behaviour



Data points tend to be above the SM curve Is the sign of C7 wrong ? Need more statistics BaBar : 384M BB; ArXiv:0804.4412 Belle : 657M BB; ArXiv:0904.0770 Note: opposite sign convention

15/6/2009

COLLIDER





### "Kπ Puzzle"



## Summary



- B factories have dramatically improved our understanding of flavour physics, far beyond expectations.
- Clear demonstration of the SM CKM mechanism as the dominant source of CP violation
- Overall good agreement in the global SM CKM fit
  - A huge step forward on precision of  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$
- A few puzzles remaining.....
  - Tension between sin2 $\beta$  and  $|V_{ub}|$  with  $B{\rightarrow}\tau\nu$
  - K $\pi$  puzzle
  - $A_{FB}$  in  $B \rightarrow K^* \mu \mu$

Next lecture:

- What have we learnt from the Tevatron ?
- Is there still room for NP ?
- If so, can it be discovered at the LHC and beyond ?



New Physics is still hiding...









### **CP** Violation in Interference

Golden case: CP final state and single dominating amplitude

$$A_{f_{CP}}^{CP}(t) = \operatorname{Im} \lambda_{f_{CP}} \sin(\Delta m t)$$



### $\beta$ : b $\rightarrow$ ccs modes



HADRON

COLLIDER PHYSICS







15/6/2009





















Three-pion final state: dominated by transitions through  $\rho$  mesons

- Interfering contributions from  $\rho^+\pi^-$ ,  $\pi^+\rho^-$  (and  $\rho^0\pi^0$ )
- Snyder-Quinn method Snyder&Quinn, PRD48 (1993) 2139; Quinn&Silva, PRD62 (2000) 054002
  - Time-dependent Dalitz analysis of 6 decay amplitudes
  - BW phase variations break degeneracy in solutions





 $B \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ 





### **ADS Method**



$$\begin{array}{lll} B^+ \to D^0 K^+ & + & D^0 \to f \\ \text{suppressed} & \text{favoured} \\ B^+ \to \overline{D^0} K^+ & + & \overline{D^0} \to f \\ \text{favoured} & \text{suppressed} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \text{Same final state} \\ \text{Large interference} \\ \text{-O(1)} \end{array}$$

Measure B<sup>+</sup> and B<sup>-</sup> yields to determine ADS observables:

$$R_{ADS} \equiv \frac{\Gamma\left(B^{-} \to D\left[\to f\right]K^{-}\right) + \Gamma\left(B^{+} \to D\left[\to \overline{f}\right]K^{+}\right)}{\Gamma\left(B^{-} \to D\left[\to \overline{f}\right]K^{-}\right) + \Gamma\left(B^{+} \to D\left[\to f\right]K^{+}\right)} = r_{B}^{2} + r_{D}^{2} + 2r_{B}r_{D}\cos\gamma\cos\left(\delta_{B} + \delta_{D}\right)$$

$$A_{ADS} \equiv \frac{\Gamma(B^{-} \to D[\to f]K^{-}) - \Gamma(B^{+} \to D[\to \overline{f}]K^{+})}{\Gamma(B^{-} \to D[\to f]K^{-}) + \Gamma(B^{+} \to D[\to \overline{f}]K^{+})} = 2r_{B}r_{D}\sin\gamma\sin(\delta_{B} + \delta_{D})/R_{ADS}$$

ADS method useful at present to constrain  $r_{R}$ 

$$r_D = \left| \frac{A\left(\overline{D^0} \to f\right)}{A\left(\overline{D^0} \to f\right)}, \quad \delta = \arg\left[ \frac{A\left(\overline{D^0} \to f\right)}{A\left(\overline{D^0} \to f\right)} \right]$$

~O(1)

### **ADS Method**







No significant signal observed in any modes yet Upper limits on  $R_{ADS}$  translated to constraint  $r_B$ 

30.0

BaBar

Belle

HEAG

HFAG BaBar

Average

SaBar

Awerade

Awerade

werade

Average

-0.04

H-AG

0.08

BaBar

D KrK

PRD 72 (2006) 082004

PRD 78 (2000) 071931

PRD 72 (2005) 05203

PRD 72 (200%) 032004

CIGH2009 melminars

PRD 78 (2007) 111101

0.02

0.02

0.04

0.56

HADRON

COLLIDER PHYSICS

**CERN/FNAL Summer School** 

HFAG

CKM2008 PRCLIMINARY

0.006 ± 0.000 40.008

 $0.0.0 \pm 0.005$ 

-0.002

-0.002

0.011

0.011 40018

0.066 ± 0.031

0.0°2±0.015

0.1

0,066 ±0.029 ±0.010

 $0.012 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.00$ 

80.6

**R**<sub>ADS</sub> Averages







#### 15/6/2009

CERN - FERM

COLLIDER PHYSICS



No direct measurement of  $\gamma$ , but helps in combination with other methods. Sensitivity to  $\gamma$  depends on strong phase.



### **GLW Method**



$$R_{CP\pm} \equiv \frac{\Gamma\left(B^{-} \to D_{CP\pm}^{0}K^{-}\right) + \Gamma\left(B^{+} \to D_{CP\pm}^{0}K^{+}\right)}{2\Gamma\left(B^{-} \to D^{0}K^{-}\right)} = 1 \pm 2r_{B}\cos\gamma\cos\delta_{B} + r_{B}^{2}$$

$$A_{CP\pm} \equiv \frac{\Gamma\left(B^{-} \to D_{CP\pm}^{0}K^{-}\right) - \Gamma\left(B^{+} \to D_{CP\pm}^{0}K^{+}\right)}{\Gamma\left(B^{-} \to D_{CP\pm}^{0}K^{-}\right) + \Gamma\left(B^{+} \to D_{CP\pm}^{0}K^{+}\right)} = \pm 2r_{B}\sin\gamma\sin\delta_{B}/R_{CP\pm}$$

Alternate set

$$x_{\pm} = r_B \cos(\delta_B + \gamma) = \frac{R_{CP+} (1 \mp A_{CP+}) - R_{CP-} (1 \mp A_{CP+})}{4}$$
$$r_B^2 = x_{\pm}^2 + y_{\pm}^2 = \frac{R_{CP+} + R_{CP-} - 2}{2}$$





### Inclusive Vcb





### **Exclusive** Vcb





