

LHCb Status Report

LHCC open session CERN, 3 October 2001 on behalf of the LHCb Collaboration Tatsuya Nakada

1

	The LHCb Collaboration	(September 2001)
France:	Annecy, Clermont-Ferrand, CPPM Marseille, LAL Orsay	
Germany:	Tech. Univ. Dresden, Phys. Inst. Univ. Heidelberg, KIP Univ. Heidelberg, MPI Heidelberg,	
Italy:	Bologna, Cagliari , Ferrara, Firenze, Frascati, Genoa, Milan, Univ. Rome I (La Sapienza), Univ. Rome II(Tor Vergata)	
Netherlands:	NIKHEF	
Poland:	Cracow Inst. Nucl. Phys., Warsaw Univ.	
Spain:	Univ. Barcelona, Univ. Santiago de Compostela	
Switzerland:	Univ. Lausanne, Univ. Zürich	
UK:	Univ. Bristol, Univ. Cambridge, Univ. Edinbu Univ. Liverpool, Univ. Oxford, RAL	urgh, Univ. Glasgow, IC London,
CERN		
Brazil:	UFRJ, CPBF	
China:	IHEP(Beijing), Tsinghua Univ.	
Russia:	BINP, INR, ITEP, IHEP, PNPI	
Romania:	IFIN-HH (Bucharest)	
Ukraine:	Inst. Phys. Tech. (Kharkov), Inst. Nucl. Research (Kiev)	
Technical Associate:	Espoo-Vantaa Inst. Tech. (Finland), Geneva Engineering School (Switzerland) CFET-RJ (Brazil)	
		44433400

45+3 institutes

LHCb detector top view



Contents of the presentation

- I) Introduction
- II) Experimental Area
- III) Subsystems
 - 1) Magnet
 - 2) Beam Pipe
 - 3) VELO
 - 4) RICH
 - 5) Tracking: Outer Tracker, Inner Tracker
 - 6) Calorimeters
 - 7) Muon
 - 8) Trigger

9) Computing, DAQ and ECS, Offline, Computing infrastructure

- IV) Overall re-optimisation
- V) Conclusions

I) Introduction

Impressive results from the B factories!

B-meson system: sin 2βBABAR (2001) = 0.59 ± 0.15 BELLE (2001) = 0.99 ± 0.15



5



In 2005:

$\sigma_{\sin 2\beta} = \pm 0.03: \Sigma$ (BABAR, BELLE, CDF, D0) $|V_{td}/V_{ts}|: \Delta m_s$ (CDF, D0) + unquenched lattice calculation $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}|:$ BABAR + BELLE + more QCD theory



Other CP asymmetries ($\pi\pi$, K π etc.) have larger theoretical uncertainties: clean extraction of γ , or $\beta+\gamma$ would be difficult.



First time, $(\rho, \eta)_{CP}$ with an accuracy comparable to $(\rho, \eta)_{side}$

And a high statistics study with many other decay modes. $B_d: \pi^+\pi^-, K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}, \rho\pi, \phi K_S, K^{*0}\gamma, K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^ B_s: K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}, K^+K^-, K^*\pi, \phi\phi, \phi\gamma, \phi\mu^+\mu^-$ ⁷

With presence of new physics



II) Experimental area

GENERAL LAYOUT OF LHCb IN UX 85 AREA

TOP VIEW 27/09/2001



III) Subsystems Magnet



 $\int B \, dl = 4 \, \text{Tm}$ Normal conductor (Al) Power = 4.2 MW

Al conductor (~50 t, ~9 km) 120m sample delivered for testing

Steel plates for Yoke (~1.5 kt) first sample arrives for test in October 2001

Construction of two coils winding line in preparation production of a dummy pancake (October 2001)¹⁰



Too high background → Improvements needed
Al transition piece (R&D on the Al bellow)
& Al-Be alloy beam pipe (test on the heating)



OT occupancies with respect to no beam pipe

Al-Be alloy pipe is an affordable solution that we are pursuing.

VELO



TDR submitted on 31 May 2001

Baseline technology: Sensors: 300µm n-on-n short strips double metal layer for readout **Electronics: SCTA-VELO: DMILL** or BEETLE: 0.25µm CMOS



Machine related issues: wake field and vacuum

RF test tank

Gravity valve test



wake field secondary vacuum suppressor box

validating simulation results



Electronics prototypes

Levelelectronics









RICH

TDR submitted on 7 September 2000 approved February 2001

Baseline Technology Two RICH detectors with three radiators: $aerogel+C_4F_{10}$ CF_4 Photon detector: Pixel HPD MaPMT as backup

LHCb RICH **Technical Design Report**

RICH-2 design modification and T11 station T11 Reduces the effect of multiple scattering due to RICH-2 \rightarrow less important @ high p i.e. for RICH-2



Removing T11: little effect on the angular resolution

Extending(33cm) RICH-2: increases No. of photons by 18%



Pixel HPD development:

photocathode and tube encapsulation by DEP



ALICE/LHCb pixel readout chip



1024 pixel (500µm×500µm) detector and bump-bonded pixel readout electronics. Problems were found and corrected. New submission: mid-October



Bump-bonded pixel+readout chip bonded on the HPD base plate to be encapsulated.

Original milestones for October:

a working HPD with 20MHz chip a working 40MHz chip Missed by ~3 months Status will be reviewed this month.



Tracker: Outer and Inner

Outer Tracker TDR submitted on 14 September 2001 Presentation to follow.



- Technology well defined: straw tube custom made TDC
- Construction technique and plan well understood:

 \Rightarrow TDR presentation

Inner Tracker

TP with 3 options:GEM/MSGC, Si, MCSCReduced to 2 options:Triple GEM, SiRecently converged to one option:All Si

This requires more R&D TDR submission planned for the end of 2001 \rightarrow to be delayed

Order all Si sensors by 1 July 2003,

- 15 months for the construction of the detector,
- 6 months for the installation and commissioning.

Delay of TDR does not cause any problem for the overall plan.

Prototype Si sensors: 300 µm p-on-n oxygenated detectors 4" wafers from Sintef and CNM Barcelona manufactured by SPA DETECTOR in Kiev 240µm pitch

First beam test at CERN

 test Si ladder read by HELIX chip (not LHC speed)

reference Si detectors

Spring 2002: Hamamatsu detector read by SCTA-VELO and BEETLE S/N as a function of the strip length (10, 20, 30 cm?).²⁶



Si station design based on 22cm Si ladders

IT design with four boxes. Each box with four Si layers. The size is defined by the OT occupancy.

inside of the box

ladder



1.5 k sensors and 344 k channels with ~200 μ m strip (287k channels if ~240 μ m strip possible).

Calorimeters



Technical Design Report

TDR submitted on 7 September 2000 approved February 2001

Adopted Technology Scintillator-Pb-Scintillator (SPD and Preshower) Shashlik E-cal Fe-Scintillator tile H-cal Photon detector PMT

E-cal and H-cal

Engineering Design Reviews completed (with external reviewers) Module-0 construction completed

Shashlik front face

E-cal

Shashlik back side

30

200 outer-most modules @ ITEP

Shashlik Module-0 in the test beam



H-Cal module-0

Mechanical assembly @ IHEP Optical assembly @ CERN





H-cal Module-0 in the test beam



No major surprise in the Module-0 production. Based on this experience...

- Production Readiness Reviews for E-cal and H-cal are being conducted.
- Raw material (Pb, steel, scintillators, fibres etc.) have been ordered.

Series production of E-cal and H-cal will start soon.

SPD and Preshower



EDR planned for ~beginning of 2002

Front-end cards

(EH-cal, Preshower and SPD)

EDR's completed. Digital part modified to be SEU immune PRR's for the EH-cal and Preshower front-end ASIC's soon.

Muon



TDR submitted on 28 May 2001 TP MRPC and CPC Now Single gap RPC (2 in OR) for "low" rate region $< 1 \text{ kHz/cm}^2$ (48% of area)**MWPC** with cathode and/or wire readout for "high" rate region <100 kHz/cm² **3GEM or MWPC** for a small region >100 kHz/cm² $(<1\%, 3 m^2)$ R&D in progress

reduction of $45k \rightarrow 26k$ logical channels

Chamber prototypes full size RPC gap





RPC GIF test

MWPC prototype construction @CERN, Ferrara, PNPI, Frascati: all successful







Front-end electronics also identified: For RPC: BiCMOS front-end chip developed for CMS



For MWPC: custom made front-end chip in 0.25 µm CMOS technology (CARIOCA)





The LHCb Trigger System



All the L-0 components have been designed and simulated.



L0 decision unit prototype also ~April 2002.

Level-1 trigger is like online farm, but with small data size @ high frequency.





Prototype is being built using SCI technology

Final network technology is still open.

After TP,

- Pythia event generator tuned to UA5 and CDF data.

 $\rightarrow \sigma_{c}$ and $\sigma_{b\text{-baryon}}$ increased.

- Multiple pp interactions/bunch crossing properly treated.

 $\overline{\mathbf{i}}$

TP Level-1 algorithm based on the VELO information alone: \rightarrow the performance dropped by a factor of ~1.5.

This can be more than compensated by introducing $P_{\rm T}$. (b tracks have high $\langle P_{\rm T} \rangle$.) How do we introduce $P_{\rm T}$?

VELO identifies tracks with large impact parameters: Then...

- 1) connect them with the high $P_{\rm T}$ clusters found by Level-0. \rightarrow feed Level-0 information into CPU.
- 2) measure their *P* using one or two tracking stations.
 - \rightarrow feed some tracker information into CPU





comes more or less free. needs some work but gives better performance.

Possibilities under study. (NB L1 is a software trigger)

Trigger TDR delayed

No effect on the construction schedule: Start production in 2003. Better performing Level-1can be developed. Results of many prototypes will be available. Higher Level Triggers can be better understood.

Computing

TDR for the online system end of 2001

Complete DAQ architecture:



Simple and scalable architecture.

Readout system technology choices

Readout Unit

baseline: Network processor
very flexible and bright future.
backup: FPGA based custom solution
prototypes have been built and are working.





Readout network Baseline: Gb Ethernet

Timing and functionality of the complete DAQ system, including the front-end, has been simulated. 50

Online cont.

Experiment Control System (ECS)

Control, configure and monitor the whole experiment Based on SCADA (outcome of JCOP)

Detector interface to SPECS, Can-bus and Credit card PC.



Offline Projects

OO software framework: GAUDI

- Consolidation phase (three new releases)
- Development of interactivity, visualisation etc.
- Joint development with ATLAS

OO applications

- Reconstruction (BRUNEL): used in production mixture of C++ and Fortran physics algorithm
- Simulation (GAUSS)

 integration of GAUDI with GEANT4
 RICH and Calorimeter work started
 GEANT3 based SICBMC still used in production
- Analysis (DAVINCI) physicists started to use it

Computing Infrastructure

Participation in EU DataGrid project (WP8) Preparation of Tier 1 centres new comers are NIKHEF and Bologna Preparation of Data Challenges



Most of the detector TDR's have been completed: \rightarrow good knowledge on the material budget available.

Highest effort is put to reduce the material budget.

First effort already made: beam pipe: $Al \Rightarrow Be-Al$ alloy (possibly the first 25 mrad cone with Be)

 Second effort:
 detector material

 VELO
 $0.19 X_0, 0.04 \lambda_I$

 RICH-1
 $0.14 X_0, 0.05 \lambda_I$

 Tracking $0.03 X_0 \times 9$ stations
 $0.27 X_0, 0.11 \lambda_I$

Material up to RICH-2 = 0.6 X_0 , 0.2 λ_{I}

How to decrease it?

Improving the detector designs

RICH-1 TDR glass mirror: 30 kg, 0.046 X_0 3.5 mm of Be + 0.3 mm of glass, 16 kg, 0.01 X_0 2 mm Perspex C, 9 kg, 0.01 X_0

TDR mirror support: 0.03 X_0 With a lighter mirror, the support can be reduced or placed outside of the acceptance, $\rightarrow 0.01 X_0$ This brings $\begin{array}{c} 0.14 \rightarrow 0.08 X_0\\ 0.045 \rightarrow 0.034 \lambda_1 \end{array}$

VELO

Possibilities are being investigated for Be RF shield, thinner Si, etc. We may gain as much as $\begin{array}{l} 0.19 \rightarrow 0.11 X_{0} \\ 0.04 \rightarrow 0.035 \lambda_{I}^{56} \end{array}$

Improving the detector layout

No big gain for the Trackers from the design improvement. \rightarrow changing the tracking strategy.

Track finding with Kalman filter

 \rightarrow continuous tracking stations.(as 4π detectors)



For physics tracks (hits in VELO and reach RICH-2)

Seeding

Following



Track finding by matching two track segments (like fixed-target experiments e.g. FOCUS)



Our road map

By the end of 2001

1) Establish the validity of the new tracking strategy

2) Establish realistic new designs of RICH-1 and VELO Late Spring 2002

Re-optimised LHCb detector

Autumn 2002

Addendum to LHCC on

fully re-optimised LHCb detector

No large scale design change is involved: → compatible with our construction plan.

V) Conclusions

- Knowledge accumulated by BABAR, BELLE, CDF and D0 will give LHCb a unique chance to unambiguously identify new physics in CP violation.
- LHCb experiment is progressing well to be ready for day one (keep an eye on the HPD development).
- Online TDR end of 2001, Computing TDR's end of 2002 as planned.
- A promising prospect to improve the physics performance by cost neutral modifications is being studied. Plan to submit an addendum in autumn 2002. Inner Tracker and Trigger TDR's are delayed till the end of 2002 to synchronize, but this does not affect the overall schedule.