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Preparing LHCb for Run 2

New cooling plants

Maintenance on infrastructures (magnet, power, cooling, etc…) and replacement of a part of the beam pipe. New field map

Refurbishment/consolidation of detectors and online system (HLT farm will double the CPU available in Run 2)

Optimization of code/processing procedures: calibrations and analyses-quality data quasi online (saves CPU and disk space)

Ready to write on tape at a rate of 12.5 kHz (was 5 kHz in 2012 - $b$ and $c$ cross sections nearly double w.r.t. to 8 TeV - smarter HLT selections)
Highlights of recent LHCb results
Studies of $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \mu \mu$ decays

$B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \mu \mu$ are dominated by loop diagrams and are very sensitive to New Physics: many variables to be studied.

Isospin asymmetry ($A_I$) is a clean variable. In SM the expected value is small (1%).

\[
A_I = \frac{B(B^0 \rightarrow K^{(*)0} \mu^+ \mu^-) - \frac{\tau_0}{\tau^0} B(B^+ \rightarrow K^{(*)+} \mu^+ \mu^-)}{B(B^0 \rightarrow K^{(*)0} \mu^+ \mu^-) + \frac{\tau_0}{\tau^0} B(B^+ \rightarrow K^{(*)+} \mu^+ \mu^-)}
\]

LHCb(1/fb), Belle and BaBar had some evidence for $A_I \neq 0$ in $B \rightarrow K \mu \mu$.

LHCb analysis of an angular variable ($P_5'$) in $B \rightarrow K^{*} \mu \mu$ shows discrepancy w.r.t. the SM predictions:

3.7 $\sigma$ local effect on the 1/fb data sample.

Strong interest from theorists on the anomaly for possible NP effects.
New LHCb analysis on 3/fb does not confirm the isospin anomaly in \( B \to K \mu \mu \). Result consistent with statistical fluctuation.

Still a trend for non zero \( A_1 \) at low \( q^2 \).

The angular analyses \((F_H, A_{FB})\) of \( B^+ \to K^+ \mu \mu \) and \( B^0 \to K_S \mu \mu \) are also in agreement with SM expectations.

The trend of a smaller \( d\mathcal{B}/dq^2 \) at high \( q^2 \) w.r.t. SM predictions in all \( b \to s \mu \mu \) decays is confirmed however better explained by presence of NP in the form suggested by the angular analysis ...

Update with 3/fb is under preparation.

\[ \text{arXiv: 1403.8044} \]
Photon polarisation in $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ decays

SM predicts the photon in $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ decays to be LH
New Physics could add a RH component

Up-down asymmetry ($A_{ud}$) is proportional to photon polarization $\lambda_{\gamma}$ ($\pm 1$ in SM)
Fit the angular distribution in $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\pi\pi\gamma$ decays

Complexity due to many ($K^+\pi\pi$) resonances involved
5.2 $\sigma$ first observation of polarization in 4 $m_{K\pi\pi}$ bins

$$6.9 \pm 1.7, 4.9 \pm 2.0, 5.6 \pm 1.8, -4.5 \pm 1.9\%$$

However theoretical input is needed to determine $\lambda_{\gamma}$
and to constrain the effects of New Physics in $b \rightarrow s\gamma$

Counting Up/Down experiment very similar to the one performed for the discovery of P violation

C.S.Wu et al., Phys. Rev. 105 (1957) 1413

arXiv:1402.6852
Observation of exotic state $Z^+(4430)$

Belle has observed this state for the first time in 2007. Not seen by BaBar. Confirmation needed (very complex final state)

State unexplained in naïve quark model
Must contain $c$-$c$ bar quarks ($Z^+ \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^+$) but also $u$ and $d$ quarks needed (it is charged).
Is it a **tetra-quark** state?

LHCb observed it in $B^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^+K^-$ decays (10 times more statistics than Belle)

Very detailed analysis (2 independent methods). For the first time, LHCb able to see also the “footprint” of the resonant nature of this particle (“Argand plot”): unambiguous confirmation

Spin and parity also determined ($J^P=1^+$)
Evidence of a second exotic $Z^+(4240)$ state (to be confirmed)
A study on the nature of $f_0(500)$ and $f_0(980)$ mesons

Since many years, the nature of $f_0(500)$ and $f_0(980)$ mesons has been debated at length

These states are difficult to explain with naïve $qq$ model, therefore tetra-quark, or KK molecule hypotheses have been made

$B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \pi\pi$ decays are an ideal laboratory to study such mesons

If $f_0(500)$ and $f_0(980)$ are tetra-quark states, the ratio

$$r_f = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi f_0(980))}{\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi f_0(500))} \sim 0.6$$

No evidence of $f_0(980)$ production seen in these $B^0$ decays
Setting a limit on $r < 0.1$ at 90% CL
Tetra-quark hypothesis rejected at 8 $\sigma$

LHCb has a strong potential in studying low energy spectroscopy and exotica
Analyses (with 3/fb) to be completed for spring/summer conferences:

- **CPV in charm** ($\Delta A_{CP}$ in prompt and semi-leptonic tagged $D^0 \rightarrow \pi\pi$, $D^0 \rightarrow KK$)
- $B^0 \rightarrow K^*\mu\mu$ angular analysis (to clarify $P'_{5}$ possible anomaly)
- **Lepton Universality** in $R_K = \frac{Br(B^+ \rightarrow K^+\mu\mu)}{Br(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ee)}$
- $a_{SL}$ in $B_s$ semi-leptonic decays (to study di-muon anomaly from D0)
- $\phi_s$ CPV phase (in $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ and $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \pi\pi$ decays)
- $Br(B_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu\mu)$ combination with CMS
- update of CKM $\gamma$ angle
- **Lepton Flavor Violation** decay $\tau \rightarrow \mu\mu\mu$

182 papers published by LHCb so far (+75 since April 2013 RRB)

~ 100 more analyses in the pipeline of physics working groups to be completed, before the Run 2 data sample will start to be available
The LHCb upgrade
LHCb detector modifications for the upgrade

- All sub detectors readout at 40 MHz
- New optics (RICH1)
- New FEE & MAPMT
- New R/O boards
- New electronics
- All sub detectors readout at 40 MHz
- New R/O boards

- Vertex Locator VELO
- Pixel technology
- Tracking System
- Upstream: Si strips
- Downstream: Fibers
- Calorimeters
- New electronics

- Muon System
Wrap-up on the LHCb upgrade

Goals of Framework TDR (April 2012):
• running LHCb at 40 MHz, in trigger-less R/O mode and at $1 \div 2 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$
• x5 gain in muon channels, x10 gain in hadronic channels (w.r.t. Run 2)
• Collect at least 50/fb in $\sim 10y$ of data taking
• The LHCb upgrade has been approved by the CERN Research Board [RB]
  as part of long term exploitation of LHC

In 2013 and early 2014 several major milestones achieved:
• choice of technology for Vertex Locator (pixels)
• choice of solutions for the upgrade of PID systems (RICH, CALO & MUON)
• choice of technology for the upgrade of Tracking (UT & Sci.Fi.)
• choice of technology for the upgrade of Online and DAQ
• choice of baseline option for Trigger deployment (fully in software)

Based on above solutions, we are confident
LHCb will be capable to stand a luminosity of $2 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$
with a network and a HLT farm able of sustaining 40 MHz data rate at the startup,
without the need of a 1st level HW trigger (today called “L0”)
LHCb and the new LHC schedule

This schedule is very beneficial for LHCb:
- longer Run 2 data taking (3 full years - until July 2018)
- 18 months installation in LS2 (beam-to-beam)
- LS2 startup delayed (~7 months): more contingency for construction
- smoother spending profile
- 3 full years of data taking in Run 3 (enough time for ramp up of luminosity)
A few LHCb Upgrade physics goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Integrated lumi</th>
<th>Run 1</th>
<th>Run 2</th>
<th>Run 3</th>
<th>Run 4</th>
<th>Theory uncertainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{Br(B_d \to \mu\mu)}{Br(B_s \to \mu\mu)}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>110 %</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_0^2 A_{FB}(B_d \to K^{*0}\mu\mu)$</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_s(B_s \to J/\psi\phi, B_s \to J/\psi\pi\pi)$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_s(B_s \to \phi\phi)$</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>7°</td>
<td>4°</td>
<td>1.7°</td>
<td>1.1°</td>
<td>negl.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{\Gamma}(D^0 \to KK)$</td>
<td>$3.4 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$0.9 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$0.5 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From “Heavy Flavor Physics in the HL-LHC era”
Document prepared for the Aix-les-Bains ECFA Workshop – Oct 2013
The roadmap to precision physics in LHCb

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RUN 1 7-8 TeV L=3+4 10^{32}</th>
<th>RUN 2 13-14 TeV L=4 10^{32} (2015-18)</th>
<th>RUN 3 14 TeV L=1+2 10^{33} (2020-22)</th>
<th>RUN 4 14 TeV L=2 10^{33} (2026-28)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Luminosity (per Run)</td>
<td>3/fb</td>
<td>5/fb</td>
<td>15/fb</td>
<td>23/fb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Luminosity</td>
<td>3/fb</td>
<td>8/fb</td>
<td>23/fb</td>
<td>46/fb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bb yield (Run 1=1 a.u.)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated bb yield (Run 1=1 a.u.)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in stat. error (bb yield)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated bb yield in hadronic decays (Run 1=1 a.u.)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>22*</td>
<td>50*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Integrated luminosities based on experience from Run 1 data taking

* At upgrade, trigger efficiency increases x2 for hadronic final states (e.g. $B^0 \rightarrow K\pi$, $B^0 \rightarrow DD$, $B_s \rightarrow \phi\phi$)
Submitted to LHCC in Nov 13
Reviewed by LHCC and UCG
Approved by RB

Submitted to LHCC in Feb14
Under LHCC & UCG scrutiny
**VELO** (vertex detector)

Technological choice: **pixels (50x50 µm²)**
- superior pattern recognition (3D)
- excellent spatial resolution/improved acceptance
- minimal amount of material (**micro-channel cooling**)
- radiation resistance
- “state of the art technology”
- closer to beam line (5 mm vs 8 mm)

**PID** → Goal: keep performance at \(2 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\) close to that now at \(4 \times 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\)

**RICH**
- New optics, new FEE, new photo sensors (MAPMT)
- Reduced impact on LHCb mechanics / Fits in LHCb tight installation schedule

**CALO**
- SPD&PS removed (high occupancy)
- Radiation tests show ECAL ok up to \(~20/\text{fb}\) (but inner modules can be replaced)
- HCAL ok up to \(~50/\text{fb}\)
- Upgrade to 40 MHz FEE electronics

**MUON**
- Removal of M1 (due to occupancy) and more shielding in front of M2
- New off-detector electronics for an efficient readout in the 40 MHz scheme
The Upstream Tracker (UT)

Silicon strip detector
- tune granularity to varying occupancy
- technology from ATLAS IBL staves
- full active in acceptance
- lower radiation length (w.r.t. to present TT)

TDR submitted for February 2014 LHCC
The Scintillating Fibre tracker (Sci.Fi.)

Layout
• 3 stations of X-U-V-X (±5° stereo angle) scintillating fibre planes
• every plane made of 5-6 layers of Ø=250 µm fibres, 2.5 m long
• 40 MHz readout and Silicon PMs at periphery

Challenges
• Radiation damage to fibres → tested ok
• Neutron damage to SiPM → operate at -40°C

Benefits of the Sci.Fi. concept:
• a single technology to operate
• uniform material budget
• SiPMs + infrastructure outside acceptance
• x-position resolution of 50 – 75 µm
• high hit detection efficiency (≥ 99%)
• fast pattern recognition

TDR submitted for February 2014 LHCC
**TRIGGER**

A full software HLT processing is doable within the planned CPU budget of the 2020 farm thanks to a faster tracking.

No L0 hardware “knob” needed to limit the rate at < 40 MHz (keep it as “safety belt”)

A full 40 MHz data taking in trigger-less R/O mode today seems feasible.

TDR to be submitted for June 2014 LHCC

---

**ONLINE & DAQ**

All signals will be brought outside the pit (all R/O boards near PC farm)

Smart solution for network based on PC & PCI-express commodities (40 MHz capacity since startup within planned budget)

---

**TRACKING**

Improved VELO+UT pattern recognition

Use the magnetic field between the VELO and UT to get a $\Delta p/p \sim 15\%$

Drastic reduction in tracking reconstruction time (a factor 3 decrease)

---
### Responsibilities and costs (from TDRs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsystem</th>
<th>Cost (MSF)</th>
<th>Contributing countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VELO</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>Brasil, CERN, Netherlands, Spain, UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upstream Tracker</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>CERN, Italy, Poland, Switzerland, US</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sci. Fi. Tracker</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>Brasil, CERN, China, France, Germany, Netherlands, Russia, Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICH (with 1,500 PMs in RICH2)</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>CERN, Italy, Romania, UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calorimeters (incl. LLT)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>France, Russia, Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muon system</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Italy, Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Projects</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>Shared among all countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Costs include margins for risk mitigation (where needed) and a variable no. of spares. Estimate is **tight**, but realistic.

FTDR cost: $51.3\div53.4$ MSF ($+3.5$ MSF reserve for PID at $2\times10^{33}$) $\sim 57$ MSF
Status of funding coverage (CORE+CF)

News since last meeting

(*) Resources (for 2014-18) approved (CERN, IT) or envelope defined (FR)
# UT/US proposal under review at NSF
# LHCb rated at top level in STFC-UK programmatic review
# Positive advancement of reviews in CH, D, NL, RU

Start of negotiations with Brasil to provide in-kind contribution on network fibers (CF)
Spain grant review process restarted

Goal: get >80% of resources for a working detector by 2018, the rest can be provided in 2019-20
# final decisions expected before summer
Addenda for the upgrade

Addendum No. 1 to MoU for Construction: Common Projects

Already circulated at October 2012 RRB
Common Fund fixed to 15,710 kSF (unchanged)
New revision since then:
- sharing updated at April 2014
- spending profile according to new LHC schedule
- mechanisms of admission of new FA

Signatures requested for MoU Addendum on Common Projects in coming months

Addendum No. 2 to MoU for Construction: Upgrade TDRs

Under preparation
Defines responsibilities and resources for TDRs
Composed of one annex per sub system
It will define the LHCb Money Matrix

To be presented at October RRB
• Preparing the Addendum to the MoU for M&O – LHCb Core Computing

Motivation
LHCb Core Computing is becoming increasingly important for the efficient Operation of the experiment AND for the preparation of the Upgrade. Core Computing shared (mainly) among interested institutes (volunteer basis scheme) and integrated by the rest of the Collaboration. In particular, Computing Upgrade needs important dedicated efforts.

Note: LHCb is the only LHC experiment NOT having a Computing MoU

• A more flexible model for LHCb computing resources

Motivation
Current LHCb Computing is based mainly on Tier1 (D, F, I, NL, SP, UK) resources. This creates uneven share and a systematic lack of resources. Need for a more flexible scenario: include Tier2 (fully, not only for MC productions) in computing model and obtain a better share. Discussions ongoing with BR, CH, PL, RU, US

Documents for both items are under preparation, to be submitted to the RRB
 Collaboration matters

- **Aachen RWTH (Germany)** group: strong expertise in the area of tracking with fibres and willing to take part to the construction of the Sci.Fi. Tracker for the upgrade (accepted as full member)

- **Wuhan CCNU (China)** group: interests in the area of CP violation in B hadronic decays (associated to Tsinghua Univ.)

LHCb collaboration today:  
- 66 institutes from 17 countries (54 institutes at beginning of 2011 +22%)
- 700 authors (545 at beginning of 2011 +28%)

The Collaboration Board has elected  
**G. Wilkinson (Oxford)** as the new spokesperson, term starting on July 1st, 2014
Conclusions

- LHCb is actively preparing the 2015 data taking to profit of the nearly doubled cross section for charm and beauty
- Several important physics results obtained (on $1/fb$ and on $3/fb$ samples) and many more to come on benchmark flavor physics observables (and not only)
- Important upgrade milestones achieved on time: 2 TDRs approved, one under LHCC review, another in preparation. The shape and the cost of the upgrade is now defined
- The upgraded detector will be able to sustain a luminosity of $2 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$, reading out events at 40 MHz, and process them in the farm, with superior tracking capabilities and PID performance similar to the one available today
- To achieve this target, the support in resources at a level close to that requested by your LHCb groups is mandatory. With the new LHC schedule, the resources can be spread up to 2020. We hope this can help in positive answers from FAs
- Signatures requested for MoU on Common projects in coming months

My work with you (as SP) has nearly come to its end, after 3 very dense years. It has been a pleasure and I thank YOU all for the support and the help!